

C1



RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

STATIC LONGITUDINAL CHARACTERISTICS AT HIGH SUBSONIC SPEEDS
OF A COMPLETE AIRPLANE MODEL WITH A HIGHLY TAPERED WING
HAVING THE 0.80 CHORD LINE UNSWEPT AND
WITH SEVERAL TAIL CONFIGURATIONS

By Kenneth W. Goodson ✓

CLASSIFICATION CHANGED
Langley Aeronautical Laboratory
UNCLASSIFIED
Langley Field, Va.

LIBRARY COPY

To
NACA Ref add
By authority of R N-128
Date June 24 1957
AMT 9-9-58
efficiency JAN 23 1957
CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT
LANGLEY AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY
LIBRARY, NACA
LANGLEY FIELD, VIRGINIA

This material contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning
of the espionage laws, Title 18, U.S.C., Secs. 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any
manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FOR AERONAUTICS

WASHINGTON

January 10, 1957

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

STATIC LONGITUDINAL CHARACTERISTICS AT HIGH SUBSONIC SPEEDS
OF A COMPLETE AIRPLANE MODEL WITH A HIGHLY TAPERED WING
HAVING THE 0.80 CHORD LINE UNSWEPT AND
WITH SEVERAL TAIL CONFIGURATIONS

By Kenneth W. Goodson

SUMMARY

An investigation was made at high subsonic speeds of a complete model having a highly tapered wing and several tail configurations. The basic aspect-ratio-4.00 wing had zero taper and an unswept 0.80 chord line. Several aspect-ratio modifications to the basic wing were made by clipping off portions of the wing tips. The complete model was tested with a chord-plane tail, a T-tail, and a biplane tail (combined T-tail and chord-plane tail). The model was tested in the Langley high-speed 7- by 10-foot tunnel at Mach numbers from 0.60 to 0.92.

The data show that, when reduced to the same static margin, all the tail configurations tested on the model provided fairly good stability characteristics, the biplane tail giving the best overall characteristics as regards pitching-moment linearity. Changes in static margin at zero lift coefficient with Mach number were small for the model with these tails over the Mach number range investigated.

INTRODUCTION

Many research and production-type high-speed airplanes experience abrupt changes in longitudinal stability at moderate and high lift coefficients, particularly when flying at high subsonic and transonic speeds. Investigations of thin-wing models having various sweep angles, aspect ratios, and taper ratios (refs. 1 to 4) have shown that the tail-off (wing or wing-fuselage) contribution to the pitching-moment nonlinearity can be minimized by proper selection of wing plan form. One such investigation (ref. 1) on small-scale, thin, highly tapered wings indicated

[REDACTED]

that minimum nonlinearity of the variation of pitching moment with lift at subsonic and transonic speeds was obtained when the line of zero sweep is a constant-percent chord line lying between the 0.75 chord line and the trailing edge. An additional attractive feature of highly tapered wing plan forms is that they are known to offer certain structural advantages over wings of less taper.

The present investigation was undertaken to determine whether the results obtained from the small-scale wing-alone tests could be applied to a model at higher Reynolds numbers and to obtain complete-model data. An aspect-ratio-4.00 wing with a taper ratio of zero and an unswept 0.80 chord line was selected as having the desired overall characteristics. The wing had an NACA 65A004 airfoil section parallel to the plane of symmetry. Longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics for the model were obtained with the wing clipped to form aspect ratios varying from 4.0 to 3.0. The aspect-ratio-3.50 clipped wing was tested in conjunction with several tail configurations, and some limited tail-on tests were made with the wing clipped to an aspect ratio of 3.00.

SYMBOLS

The data are presented about the system of axes shown in figure 1. The pitching-moment coefficients are referred to a center-of-gravity position which is located at the quarter-chord point of the aspect-ratio-3.50 clipped wing.

C_L lift coefficient, $\frac{\text{Lift}}{qS}$

C_D drag coefficient, $\frac{\text{Drag}}{qS}$

ΔC_D change in drag due to lift

C_N normal-force coefficient, $\frac{\text{Normal force}}{qS}$

C_A axial-force coefficient, $\frac{\text{Axial force}}{qS}$

C_m pitching-moment coefficient, $\frac{\text{Pitching moment}}{qSc}$

q dynamic pressure, $\frac{\rho V^2}{2}$, lb/sq ft

ρ	mass density of air, slugs/cu ft
V	free-stream velocity, ft/sec
M	Mach number
S	wing area, sq ft
c	local chord parallel to plane of symmetry, ft
c_r	root chord, ft
c_t	tip chord, ft
\bar{c}	wing mean aerodynamic chord, $\frac{2}{S} \int_0^{b/2} c^2 dy$, ft
\bar{c}_h	horizontal-tail mean aerodynamic chord, ft
\bar{c}_v	vertical-tail mean aerodynamic chord, ft
b	wing span, ft
y	spanwise distance from plane of symmetry, ft
Δx	change in mean aerodynamic quarter-chord location due to clipping of wing, in.
α	angle of attack, deg
i_t	stabilizer deflection, positive when trailing edge is down, deg
A	aspect ratio
λ	taper ratio
$\Lambda_{0.8c}$	sweep of 0.80 chord line, deg

MODEL AND APPARATUS

A three-view drawing of the complete model is shown in figure 2(a). The model with the basic pointed wing (taper ratio of zero) had an aspect ratio of 4.00 with an unswept 80-percent chord line. The basic wing was

CONFIDENTIAL

also modified to form wings with aspect ratios of 3.50, 3.25, and 3.00 by clipping the wing tips (fig. 2(b)).

The model was fitted with an unswept-trailing-edge vertical tail ($\Lambda_c/4 = 28.0^\circ$) and with a delta horizontal tail which could be mounted in two positions. (See figs. 2(a) and 2(c).) The horizontal tail could be mounted on the rear end of the fuselage in the wing chord plane extended and also on the tip of the vertical tail in a T-tail arrangement. The apex of the horizontal tail (basic T-tail arrangement) overhung the leading edge of the vertical-tail tip by 1.93 inches. The various tail configurations of the basic model are shown in figure 2(c).

In addition to the tail configurations of the basic model, the model was modified to give zero overhang of the horizontal tail (T-tail) and also to keep the original tail length for this configuration (fig. 2(d)). In order to keep the same horizontal-tail length, a reduced-sweep vertical tail was constructed for the zero overhang configuration (tail configuration 7).

The incidence of the horizontal tail of the T-tail configuration could be varied by use of several mounting brackets. The incidence of the chord-plane horizontal tail was fixed at 0° . Dimensions of the fuselage with a fineness ratio of 10.94 are presented in table I. A photograph of the model mounted on the sting support of the Langley high-speed 7- by 10-foot tunnel is shown in figure 2(e).

TESTS

The sting-supported model was tested in the Langley high-speed 7- by 10-foot tunnel through a Mach number range of 0.60 to 0.92 and through an angle-of-attack range that varied with loading conditions (the maximum range being about -3° to 24°). The Reynolds number based on the mean aerodynamic chord varied with Mach number from about 2.6×10^6 to 3.4×10^6 .

Longitudinal stability tests were made for the model with the basic wing with an aspect ratio of 4.00 and with the basic wing clipped to give aspect ratios of 3.50, 3.25, and 3.00. The aspect-ratio-3.50 wing was selected for more detailed investigation of a complete model with various tail configurations. Some stabilizer effectiveness tests (for values of i_t of 0° to approximately 6°), were made with this wing. A few tail-on tests also were made with the aspect-ratio-3.00 wing.

CORRECTIONS

Blockage corrections were applied to the results by the method of reference 5. Jet-boundary corrections to the angle of attack and drag were applied in accordance with reference 6. Corrections for effects of the longitudinal pressure gradient in the wind-tunnel test section have been applied to the data.

Model support tares have not been applied, except for a fuselage base-pressure correction to the drag. The corrected drag data represent a condition of free-stream static pressure at the fuselage base. From past experience, it is expected that the influence of the sting support on the model characteristics is negligible with regard to the lift and pitching moment.

The angle of attack has been corrected for deflection of the balance and sting support. No attempt has been made to correct the data for aeroelastic distortion of the steel wing model.

PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

The results are presented in figures 3 to 15 as follows:

	Figure
Effect of aspect ratio on the longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics, tail-off	3
Effect of various tail configurations on the longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics of the aspect-ratio-3.50 model .	4 and 5
Effect of aspect ratio on the longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics of the tail-on model	6
Effect of stabilizer deflection on the aerodynamic characteristics of the complete model (aspect-ratio-3.50 wing) with various tail configurations	7 to 10
Summary of aerodynamic characteristics	11 to 15
Tabulated results of normal-force and axial-force coefficient are presented in tables II to IX. The results are presented about a center of gravity located at the quarter-chord point of the aspect-ratio-3.50 wing.	

DISCUSSION

Pitching-Moment Characteristics

The effect on pitching-moment characteristics of reducing aspect ratio by clipping the tips of the basic aspect-ratio-4.00 pointed wing is shown in figure 3. The results show that clipping small portions off the wing tips (that is, reducing the aspect ratio) generally reduces the

longitudinal stability in the low lift-coefficient range, the effects becoming more significant as the aspect ratio becomes relatively smaller. (See figs. 3(a), 3(b), and 11.) These data also show that small localized nonlinearities occurring at moderate and high lift coefficients at high subsonic (above critical) Mach numbers are minimized by small reductions in aspect ratio. These data in general show results similar to those of the small-scale models of reference 1. After clipping the aspect-ratio-4.00 wing to an aspect ratio of 3.50, the aspect-ratio-3.50 wing was selected for the complete-model tests of the present program. Consequently, before the wing tips were cut off to form the aspect-ratio-3.25 and aspect-ratio-3.00 wings, the aspect-ratio-3.50 wing was tested rather extensively on a complete-model configuration with several different tail arrangements, inasmuch as the wing tips could not be accurately replaced. The complete-model characteristics with this wing are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Results of tests of the aspect-ratio-3.50 wing on a complete model with a vertical-tail and several horizontal-tail locations are shown in figure 4. These results show that the local nonlinearities previously mentioned for the wing-fuselage configurations are still evident with the complete model but that the horizontal tail generally tends to reduce their magnitudes. Note that the T-tail arrangement provides considerably more stability up to moderate lift coefficients than does the chord-plane horizontal tail (figs. 4(a) and 12) probably because of smaller changes in downwash with angle of attack (ref. 7) at the high tail (T-tail) and the greater exposed area of the high tail. It should also be noted that a combination of the T-tail and the chord-plane tail (biplane tail, configuration 5) has almost linear pitching-moment characteristics up to stall except for some local nonlinearities at $M = 0.92$.

In order to give a more direct comparison of the effects of the various horizontal tails on the longitudinal stability of the complete model, the T-tail, the chord-plane tail, and the biplane tail data have been reduced to a static margin of $-0.10\bar{c}$ at $M = 0.60$ (fig. 13) and adjusted to give $C_m = 0$ at $C_L = 0$. These results show that the biplane tail model has the best overall stability characteristics of any of the tail configurations tested in regard to pitching-moment linearity over the Mach number range investigated. This configuration shows increased stability at the stall. Similarly, no pitch-up is noted for the low-tail (chord-plane) configuration although the increase in stability at the higher lift coefficients (fig. 13) is somewhat greater than might be desired. The T-tail arrangement, on the other hand, shows a mild reduction in stability at moderate lift coefficients along with a strong pitch-up tendency above $C_{L_{max}}$. This configuration, however, may provide a warning of the impending pitch-up in the form of a momentary increase in stability at stall and perhaps buffeting associated with the wing stall.

It is believed that any of the present tail arrangements would prove acceptable when used in conjunction with the wing of this investigation. Note that changes in static margin with Mach number are very small for any of the tail-on configurations for the Mach number range investigated. (See figs. 12 and 13.)

For the T-tail configuration with horizontal-tail apex overhang (tail configuration 4 of the present paper), reference 8 shows a considerable reduction in directional stability at high subsonic Mach numbers; whereas, essentially no reduction is indicated when the horizontal tail has zero overhang. For this reason it is desirable to have the horizontal tail located in the rear position (tail configuration 6). With these results in mind, tests were made with the horizontal tail in the rear position to determine whether there were any large or adverse effects on the longitudinal-stability characteristics. Also, another configuration having a reduced-sweep vertical tail (tail configuration 7) made it possible to maintain the original horizontal-tail length and at the same time avoid the unfavorable directional interference. The effects of these tail modifications on longitudinal stability were small. (See fig. 5.)

The basic wing was modified to an aspect ratio of 3.00 by clipping the tips to form a more practical tip chord. This modification was also expected to provide somewhat greater stability for the T-tail arrangement just prior to stall. The results of figure 6, however, show this modification to be rather ineffective for the T-tail arrangement.

Lift and Drag Characteristics

Small reductions in aspect ratio produced by clipping off the tips of the basic pointed wing did not appreciably affect the lift characteristics of the wing-fuselage configuration. (See fig. 3(c).) Because of unexplained scatter in the minimum drag, the present data are not considered suitable for analysis of lift-drag ratios. Drag due to lift results obtained from these data, however, should be indicative of aspect-ratio effects through the lift-coefficient range. Such results (fig. 14) show that clipping the wing tips increases slightly the drag due to lift at the higher Mach numbers. These data (fig. 3(d)) also indicate that the drag rise is not reached in the Mach number range of the present investigation.

The effect of small changes in horizontal-tail leading-edge overhang and tail length (fig. 5) had no appreciable effect on the lift characteristics, although small increases in drag due to lift were noted at the higher Mach numbers. Also, changes in aspect ratio for the tail-on configuration had small or negligible effect on the lift and drag characteristics. (See figs. 6(b) and 6(c).)

Stabilizer Characteristics

The usual variation of the aerodynamic characteristics with stabilizer deflection was obtained for the complete model with the various tail configurations. (See figs. 7 to 10.) These data show that pitching-moment linearity and pitch-up characteristics were not appreciably affected by stabilizer deflection. The stabilizer effectiveness for the various tail configurations is shown in figure 15.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

An investigation of longitudinal stability at high subsonic speeds (Mach numbers of 0.6 to 0.92) of a highly tapered model having several tail configurations indicates the following results:


In general, the data indicate that reasonably good longitudinal stability characteristics can be obtained with a highly tapered wing having zero sweep of the 80-percent chord line when used in conjunction with a low tail, a high tail, or a biplane tail. The data show that the model with a biplane horizontal tail (T-tail plus chord-plane tail) gave the best overall longitudinal stability characteristics in regard to pitching-moment linearity against lift for the Mach number range investigated. Changes in static margin at zero lift coefficient with Mach number for these tails are small for the Mach number range investigated.

Langley Aeronautical Laboratory,
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,
Langley Field, Va., September 14, 1956.

REFERENCES

1. Few, Albert G., Jr., and Fournier, Paul G.: Effects of Sweep and Thickness on the Static Longitudinal Aerodynamic Characteristics of a Series of Thin, Low-Aspect-Ratio, Highly Tapered Wings at Transonic Speeds - Transonic-Bump Method. NACA RM L54B25, 1954.
2. Goodson, Kenneth W., and Becht, Robert E.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation at High Subsonic Speeds of the Stability Characteristics of a Complete Model Having Sweptback-, M-, W-, and Cranked-Wing Plan Forms and Several Horizontal-Tail Locations. NACA RM L54C29, 1954.
3. Few, Albert G., Jr., and King, Thomas J., Jr.: Some Effects of Tail Height and Wing Plan Form on the Static Longitudinal Stability Characteristics of a Small-Scale Model at High Subsonic Speeds. NACA RM L54G12, 1954.
4. Goodson, Kenneth W., and Becht, Robert E.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation at High Subsonic Speeds of the Static Longitudinal Stability Characteristics of a Complete Model Having Cropped-Delta, Swept, and Unswept Wings and Several Horizontal-Tail Heights. NACA RM L54H12, 1954.
5. Herriot, John G.: Blockage Corrections for Three-Dimensional-Flow Closed-Throat Wind Tunnels, With Consideration of the Effect of Compressibility. NACA Rep. 995, 1950. (Supersedes NACA RM A7B28.)
6. Gillis, Clarence L., Polhamus, Edward C., and Gray, Joseph L., Jr.: Charts for Determining Jet-Boundary Corrections for Complete Models in 7- by 10-Foot Closed Rectangular Wind Tunnels. NACA WR L-123, 1945. (Formerly NACA ARR L5G31.)
7. Few, Albert G., Jr.: Some Effects of Sweep and Thickness on the Experimental Downwash Characteristics at Transonic Speeds of a Series of Highly Tapered Wings With an Aspect Ratio of 3 - Transonic-Bump Method. NACA RM L55J12, 1956.
8. Polhamus, Edward C., and Hallissy, Joseph M., Jr.: Effect of Airplane Configuration on Static Stability at Subsonic and Transonic Speeds. NACA RM L56A09a, 1956.

TABLE I.- FUSELAGE ORDINATES



Station, in.	Radius, in.
0	0
2.00	.53
4.00	1.00
6.00	1.44
8.00	1.80
10.00	2.07
12.00	2.30
14.00	2.42
16.00	2.47
17.50	2.50
41.27	2.50
43.27	2.42
45.27	2.35
47.27	2.25
48.30	2.14
54.72	1.65

TABLE II. — NORMAL- AND AXIAL-FORCE COEFFICIENTS (FIG 3)

Aspect ratio	i_r deg	$M = .60$			$M = .80$			$M = .85$			$M = .90$			$M = .92$		
		α°	C_N	C_A	α°	C_N	C_A	α°	C_N	C_A	α°	C_N	C_A	α°	C_N	C_A
		-3.15	.1843	.0056	-3.23	.2103	.0059	-3.28	.2314	.0063	-3.31	.0073	.2579	-3.32	.2834	.0092
4.00	—	-2.09	.1134	.0076	-2.15	.1340	.0074	-2.18	.1390	.0083	-2.20	.0096	.1609	-2.21	.1831	.0103
		-1.04	.0456	.0079	-1.07	.0595	.0085	-1.08	.0662	.0093	-1.09	.0106	.0739	-1.08	.0730	.0104
		.01	.0139	.0078	.01	.0113	.0085	.01	.0157	.0092	.00	.0103	.0131	.01	.0099	.0107
		1.07	.0764	.0077	1.10	.0858	.0082	1.10	.0905	.0090	1.12	.0101	.1035	1.13	.1104	.0102
		2.12	.1444	.0066	2.19	.1754	.0063	2.19	.1740	.0074	2.24	.0086	.2085	2.26	.2107	.0096
		3.17	.2124	.0049	3.27	.2574	.0050	3.30	.2629	.0050	3.33	.0065	.2921	3.36	.3239	.0070
		4.23	.2832	.0036	4.37	.3300	.0041	4.39	.3430	.0033	4.45	.0049	.3842	4.49	.4275	.0055
		6.34	.4111	.0015	6.51	.4678	.0030	6.57	.4889	.0025	6.65	.0042	.5676	6.70	.6339	.0046
		8.43	.5190	.0010	8.63	.5731	.0038	8.69	.6009	.0037	8.80	.0042	.7255	7.78	.5260	.0046
		10.52	.6295	.0013	10.73	.6681	.0044	10.82	.7318	.0045	10.90	.0052	.8077	11.01	.8500	.0028
		12.59	.7311	.0026	12.83	.7666	.0061	12.89	.8044	.0063	11.97	.0053	.8677	11.01	.9223	.0026
		14.62	.7925	.0056	14.87	.8291	.0082	14.92	.8517	.0090	15.00					
		16.64	.8150	.0089	16.81	.7722	.0142	16.91	.8464	.0121	17.00					
		18.62	.7786	.0118	18.89	.8450	.0155	18.97	.8878	.0164	19.05					
		20.68	.8609	.0121	20.97	.9253	.0155	21.05			21.13					
		22.74	.9540	.0124	23.07	1.0301	.0156	23.15			23.23					
		23.77	1.0946	.0127	24.11	1.0773	.0152	24.19			24.27					
3.50	—	-3.15	-.1865	.0072	-3.24	-.2135	.0073	-3.27	-.2261	.0074	-3.31	.0081	-.2531	-3.32	-.2690	.0092
		-2.10	-.1177	.0091	-2.15	-.1320	.0091	-2.17	-.1413	.0097	-2.20	.0102	-.1529	-2.24	-.1650	.0103
		-1.04	-.0459	.0102	-1.06	-.0564	.0102	-1.07	-.0616	.0110	-1.07	.0107	-.0629	-1.09	-.0667	.0119
		.01	.0144	.0109	.01	.0135	.0107	.01	.0145	.0117	.01	.0125	.0111	.0166	.0126	.0126
		1.07	.0776	.0108	1.10	.0891	.0107	1.11	.0961	.0115	1.14	.0120	.1039	1.15	.1116	.0128
		2.12	.1464	.0095	2.20	.1764	.0088	2.22	.1885	.0100	2.26	.0103	.2023	2.27	.2193	.0113
		3.19	.2295	.0084	3.30	.2638	.0079	3.33	.2790	.0084	3.37	.0093	.3124	3.39	.3256	.0098
		4.26	.2898	.0072	4.39	.3373	.0068	4.43	.3583	.0074	4.49	.0081	.3941	4.53	.4478	.0098
		6.37	.4250	.0056	6.56	.4830	.0065	6.62	.5120	.0069	6.69	.0065	.5687	6.73	.5409	.0090
		8.47	.5315	.0051	8.69	.5917	.0072	8.76	.6242	.0086	8.83	.0093	.6315	7.83	.7209	.0095
		10.56	.6375	.0051	10.80	.7039	.0084	10.85	.7233	.0103	10.97	.0114	.8193	11.05	.9199	.0108
		12.64	.7520	.0070	12.88	.7849	.0101	12.93	.8062	.0118	13.05	.0132	.8924	14.10		
		14.69	.8171	.0095	14.94	.8578	.0126	14.99	.8707	.0143	15.07					
		16.66	.8024	.0137	16.89	.8131	.0176	16.98	.8667	.0202	17.06					
		18.67	.8056	.0141	18.95	.8734	.0183	19.04	.9208	.0215	19.12					
		20.73	.8803	.0133	21.06	.9681	.0182	21.16	1.0567	.0180	21.24					
3.25	—	-3.16	-.1880	.0069	-3.25	-.2128	.0074	-3.26	-.2185	.0076	-3.31	.0078	-.2429	-3.33	-.2620	.0093
		-2.11	-.1191	.0090	-2.16	-.1348	.0089	-2.17	-.1404	.0096	-2.19	.0098	-.1507	-2.21	-.1588	.0107
		-1.06	-.0583	.0101	-1.06	-.0606	.0101	-1.08	-.0638	.0108	-1.09	.0110	-.0668	-1.09	-.0670	.0116
		.00	.0027	.0106	.00	.0038	.0104	.01	.0091	.0114	.01	.0119	.0102	.01	.0133	.0129
		1.06	.0664	.0105	1.09	.0780	.0103	1.10	.0856	.0112	1.12	.0119	.0942	1.13	.1003	.0125
		2.11	.1330	.0092	2.18	.1580	.0089	2.20	.1694	.0097	2.23	.0101	.1866	2.25	.2004	.0115
		3.17	.2054	.0077	3.28	.2437	.0075	3.31	.2603	.0081	3.36	.0084	.2922	3.38	.3168	.0103
		4.24	.2806	.0064	4.37	.3218	.0064	4.41	.3366	.0070	4.49	.0076	.3961	4.50	.4165	.0095
		6.36	.4112	.0049	6.53	.4584	.0059	6.58	.4751	.0074	6.67	.0076	.5479	6.70	.5912	.0092
		8.46	.5215	.0044	8.65	.5658	.0063	8.73	.6057	.0079	8.82	.0094	.6907	8.90	.7900	.0103
		10.54	.6228	.0046	10.77	.6805	.0071	10.84	.7126	.0098	10.93	.0104	.7333	9.95	.8318	.0110
		12.62	.7266	.0060	12.86	.7677	.0096	12.94	.8033	.0112	12.03	.0116	.8527	11.04	.8902	.0115
		14.67	.8095	.0090	14.92	.8460	.0119	15.00	.8661	.0135	15.07					
		16.65	.7960	.0130	16.86	.7904	.0163	16.96	.8459	.0186	17.04					
		18.65	.7891	.0137	18.94	.8566	.0169	19.03	.9095	.0198	19.12					
		20.73	.8846	.0124	21.02	.9245	.0164	21.09			21.17					
3.0	—	-3.15	-.1677	.0072	-3.23	-.1248	.0076	-3.25	-.1273	.0085	-3.28	.0091	-.2201	-3.29	-.2318	.0097
		-2.09	-.1031	.0092	-2.15	-.0535	.0107	-2.16	-.0554	.0120	-2.18	.0127	-.0574	-2.18	-.1424	.0118
		-1.04	-.0443	.0103	.01	.0099	.0112	.01	.0111	.0127	.01	.0135	.0122	.01	.0119	.0138
		.01	.0146	.0111	.01	.0113	.0109	.01	.0124	.0134	.0134	.0135	.0122	.0193	.0139	.0139
		1.06	.0734	.0108	1.09	.0792	.0113	1.11	.0868	.0124	1.12	.0134	.0903	1.12	.1093	.0126
		2.11	.1379	.0096	2.19	.1544	.0099	2.21	.1624	.0110	2.23	.0119	.1786	2.25	.1946	.0126
		3.19	.2084	.0083	3.28	.2374	.0085	3.31	.2489	.0095	3.34	.0100	.2685	3.37	.2957	.0114
		4.25	.2789	.0068	4.36	.3126	.0074	4.40	.3280	.0085	4.46	.0084	.3669	4.48	.3950	.0100
		6.36	.4111	.0054	6.53	.4551	.0071	6.57	.4735	.0085	6.65	.0092	.5309	6.68	.5454	.0100
		8.45	.5228	.0049	8.66	.5697	.0077	8.73	.6058	.0095	8.79	.0113	.6614	8.85	.7164	.0122
		10.55	.6312	.0055	10.77	.6779	.0091	10.83	.7048	.0123	10.93	.0134	.7735	11.00	.8511	.0137
		12.62	.7394	.0071	12.86	.7645	.0111	12.92	.7963	.0138	13.03	.0152	.8168	12.08	.9348	.0147
		14.67	.8089	.0102	14.91	.8280	.0134	14.98	.8636	.0169	15.07					
		16.66	.7956	.0143	16.87	.7953	.0182	16.96	.8470	.0229	17.04					
		18.66	.7991	.0152	18.94	.8527	.0191	19.03	.8966	.0246	19.12					
		20.72	.8880	.0139	21.02	.9236	.0195	21.09			21.17					
		22.80	.9759	.0191	23.13	1.0358	.0186				23.21					

TABLE III.— NORMAL- AND AXIAL-FORCE COEFFICIENTS (FIG 4)

Tail Configuration	<i>i_t</i> deg	M = .60				M = .80				M = .85				M = .90				M = .92			
		<i>a</i> ^o	<i>C_N</i>	<i>C_A</i>																	
1	—	-3.16	+.2013	.0084	-3.26	-.2292	.0081	-3.29	-.2472	.0081	-3.32	.0084	-.2673	-3.34	-.2850	-3.34	-.2850	.0099			
		-2.13	-.1391	.0097	-2.16	-.1471	.0087	-2.18	-.1566	.0100	-2.20	.0101	-.1639	-2.22	-.1907	-2.22	-.1907	.0111			
		-1.06	-.0711	.0108	-1.10	-.0804	.0106	-1.10	-.0802	.0112	-1.11	.0117	-.0837	-1.12	-.0956	-1.12	-.0956	.0117			
		.00	-.0059	.0111	.00	-.0021	.0097	.00	-.0001	.0106	.00	-.0018	-.0038	-.0033	-.0033	-.0033	.0127				
		1.04	.0566	.0110	1.08	.0724	.0096	1.10	.0782	.0102	1.10	.0116	.0761	1.12	.0993	1.12	.0993	.0126			
		2.11	.1273	.0098	2.16	.1430	.0082	2.19	.1501	.0094	2.21	.0103	.1836	2.22	.2031	2.22	.2031	.0119			
		3.16	.1897	.0077	3.26	.2288	.0072	3.28	.2345	.0074	3.33	.0080	.2833	3.35	.3122	3.35	.3122	.0104			
		4.21	.2604	.0060	4.33	.2977	.0058	4.37	.3182	.0056	4.43	.0065	.3716	4.45	.3905	4.45	.3905	.0078			
		6.33	.3939	.0044	6.49	.4373	.0035	6.53	.4633	.0040	6.60	.0042	.5042	6.63	.5614	6.63	.5614	.0054			
		8.42	.4990	.0029	8.61	.5442	.0044	8.68	.5866	.0039	8.77	.0051	.5757	7.75	.6745	7.75	.6745	.0050			
		10.50	.6094	.0024	10.73	.6677	.0052	10.79	.6840	.0025	10.87	.0056	.7582	8.79	.6995	8.79	.6995	.0044			
		12.57	.6943	.0035	12.81	.7514	.0063	12.87	.7689	.0063	12.99	.0055	.8664	13.05	.8864	13.05	.8864	.0040			
		14.62	.7810	.0052	14.87	.8155	.0074	14.93	.8426	.0082	14.98	.0026	.0780	15.05	.0026	15.05	.0026	.0078			
		16.63	.8061	.0082	16.79	.7582	.0130	15.64	-.2503	.0103	15.68	-.2289	.0103	15.72	-.1882	.0107	15.76	-.1529	.0105		
		18.60	.7637	.0111	17.61	-.3340	.0104	17.72	-.1882	.0107	18.76	-.1529	.0105	18.80	-.1529	.0105	18.84	-.1529	.0105		
		19.31	-.8500	.0081	19.69	-.2525	.0091	19.74	-.1509	.0113	19.79	-.1247	.0113	19.84	-.0824	.0113	19.89	-.0508	.0111		
		21.37	-.7634	.0071	21.81	-.1352	.0071	21.84	-.0800	.0058	21.88	-.0558	.0058	21.93	-.0323	.0058	21.98	-.0182	.0117		
		22.42	-.7018	.0060	22.84	-.0800	.0058	22.88	-.0558	.0058	22.92	-.0323	.0058	22.97	-.0182	.0058	23.02	-.0182	.0117		
2	—	-3.18	-.2120	.0081	-3.27	-.2392	.0091	-3.30	-.2515	.0112	-3.32	.0100	-.2678	-3.35	-.3002	-3.35	-.3002	.0111			
		-2.12	-.1412	.0095	-2.18	-.1615	.0112	-2.19	-.1612	.0111	-2.20	.0118	-.1747	-2.22	-.1866	-2.22	-.1866	.0136			
		-1.06	-.0737	.0126	-1.10	-.0856	.0122	-1.10	-.0815	.0118	-1.11	.0132	-.0898	-1.11	-.0975	-1.11	-.0975	.0136			
		-.01	-.0142	.0118	-.01	-.0113	.0127	-.01	-.0087	.0127	-.01	.0132	-.0098	-.01	-.0080	-.01	-.0080	.0137			
		1.04	.0481	.0125	1.06	.0592	.0124	1.08	.0606	.0126	1.11	.0131	.0753	1.11	.0817	1.11	.0817	.0134			
		2.09	.1158	.0100	2.15	.1331	.0111	2.19	.1509	.0112	2.21	.0121	.1750	2.22	.1791	2.22	.1791	.0111			
		3.15	.1835	.0084	3.24	.2242	.0096	3.28	.2376	.0092	3.34	.0102	.2448	3.34	.2448	3.34	.2448	.0105			
		4.22	.2599	.0070	4.35	.3134	.0083	4.39	.3280	.0076	4.46	.0088	.3823	4.48	.4136	4.48	.4136	.0086			
		6.33	.3900	.0067	6.50	.4373	.0075	6.56	.4770	.0069	6.62	.0074	.5242	6.68	.5919	6.68	.5919	.0088			
		8.43	.5006	.0055	8.62	.5531	.0073	8.68	.5842	.0066	8.74	.0074	.6067	8.78	.6733	8.78	.6733	.0089			
		10.51	.6078	.0061	10.74	.6667	.0084	10.83	.7138	.0090	10.92	.0121	.6651	8.86	.7695	8.86	.7695	.0097			
		12.59	.7203	.0070	12.83	.7594	.0099	12.90	.7967	.0111	12.93	.0119	.7934	9.96	.8667	9.96	.8667	.0096			
		14.64	.7987	.0104	14.89	.8308	.0122	14.94	.8543	.0136	15.93	.0163	.8313	16.92	.0168	16.97	.0168	.0134			
		16.66	.8291	.0126	16.84	.8769	.0163	16.92	.8939	.0158	16.97	.0161	.8939	17.02	.0161	17.07	.0161	.0134			
		18.63	.7758	.0154	17.64	-.2925	.0131	17.76	-.1961	.0140	17.80	-.1608	.0134	17.85	-.1608	.0134	17.90	-.1608	.0134		
		20.68	.8691	.0147	19.74	-.2095	.0117	19.85	-.1971	.0113	19.89	-.1608	.0134	19.94	-.1608	.0134	19.99	-.1608	.0134		
		21.37	-.7714	.0113	21.85	-.0971	.0113	22.89	-.0503	.0109	22.94	-.0323	.0134	22.99	-.0323	.0134	23.04	-.0323	.0134		
		22.42	-.6903	.0104	22.84	-.0800	.0058	22.88	-.0558	.0058	22.93	-.0323	.0134	22.98	-.0323	.0134	23.03	-.0323	.0134		
3	0	-3.16	-.2020	.0095	-3.26	-.2270	.0090	-3.28	-.2380	.0091	-3.31	.0092	-.2586	-3.32	-.2749	-3.32	-.2749	.0107			
		-2.11	-.1263	.0111	-2.16	-.1421	.0107	-2.18	-.1571	.0106	-2.20	.0113	-.1660	-2.24	-.1864	-2.24	-.1864	.0120			
		-1.05	-.0592	.0122	-1.09	-.0744	.0122	-1.11	-.0781	.0124	-1.12	.0126	-.0817	-1.13	-.0976	-1.13	-.0976	.0130			
		-.00	-.0003	.0121	-.01	-.0014	.0122	-.01	-.0013	.0126	-.00	.0130	-.0061	-.01	-.0006	-.01	-.0006	.0133			
		1.05	.0699	.0124	1.08	.0753	.0122	1.09	.0790	.0124	1.10	.0127	.0824	1.09	.0886	1.09	.0886	.0133			
		2.11	.1399	.0117	2.16	.1507	.0114	2.18	.1616	.0114	2.21	.0115	.1865	2.21	.1919	2.21	.1919	.0121			
		3.15	.2014	.0098	3.23	.2243	.0094	3.27	.2407	.0092	3.29	.0091	.2609	3.32	.2899	3.32	.2899	.0112			
		4.23	.2881	.0077	4.32	.3111	.0077	4.36	.3234	.0072	4.41	.0070	.3551	4.44	.3948	4.44	.3948	.0107			
		6.31	.4114	.0057	6.47	.4489	.0054	6.52	.4745	.0046	6.59	.0049	.4394	5.53	.4953	5.53	.4953	.0102			
		8.42	.5315	.0036	8.58	.5656	.0043	8.65	.6024	.0044	8.72	.0053	.5592	7.72	.6365	7.72	.6365	.0054			
		10.50	.6406	.0027	10.68	.6744	.0051	10.76	.7108	.0048	10.89	.0049	.5535	8.77	.8477	8.77	.8477	.0050			
		12.56	.7491	.0036	12.77	.7813	.0050	12.86	.8240	.0050	12.97	.0042	.9026	13.02	.8039	13.02	.8039	.0039			
		14.61	.8374	.0053	14.83	.8664	.0058	14.89	.8980	.0059	14.95	.0045	.9629	14.02	.8762	14.02	.8762	.0030			
		16.62	.8861	.0072	16.76	.8583	.0096	15.86	.9002	.0078	16.89	.0045	.8649	16.92	.8864	16.92	.8864	.0041			
		18.56	.8614	.0090	17.55	-.2084	.0034	17.65	-.1585	.0048	17.69	-.1070	.0035	17.73	-.0895	.0040	17.77	-.0895	.0040		
		19.26	-.7296	.0026	19.62	-.1104	.0001	20.00	-.1070	.0030	20.04	-.0899	.0029	20.08	-.0899	.0029	20.12	-.0899	.0029		
		21.34	-.6167	-.0003	21.71	-.0050	-.0030	22.36	-.5728	-.0014	22.76	-.0491	-.0049	22.80	-.0491	-.0049	22.84	-.0491	-.0049		

TABLE III.—CONCLUDED

TABLE IV.— NORMAL- AND AXIAL-FORCE COEFFICIENTS (FIG 5)

TABLE IV.—NORMAL- AND AXIAL-FORCE COEFFICIENTS (FIG. 6)

TABLE VI.—NORMAL- AND AXIAL-FORCE COEFFICIENTS (FIG 7)

TABLE VII.—NORMAL-AND AXIAL-FORCE COEFFICIENTS (FIG 8)

Tail Configuration	l_f deg	$M = .60$				$M = .80$				$M = .85$				$M = .90$				$M = .92$				
		α°		C_N	C_A	α°		C_N	C_A	α°		C_N	C_A	α°		C_N	C_A	α°		C_N	C_A	
		a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	o	p	q	r	s	t	
6	-0.7	-3.15	-2.504	.0095	-3.22	-2.787	.0106	-3.24	-2.912	.0104	-3.28	.0116	-3.311	-3.28	-3.359	.0131	-3.28	-3.219	-3.215	.0145	-3.21	.0140
		-2.11	-1.780	.0114	-2.16	-1.925	.0120	-2.16	-2.003	.0122	-2.18	.0129	-2.209	-2.19	-2.231	.0145	-2.19	-2.129	-2.120	.0152	-2.18	.0152
		-1.05	-.0994	.0132	-1.08	-.1062	.0134	-1.08	-.1093	.0138	-1.08	.0146	-1.141	-1.08	-1.120	.0152	-1.08	-1.0236	-.00	-.0246	-.00	-.0246
		-0.02	-.0272	.0129	-.01	-.0273	.0135	-.01	-.0288	.0139	-.01	.0147	-.0236	-.0236	-.0236	-.0236	-.0236	-.0236	-.0236	-.0236	-.0236	-.0236
		1.04	.0481	.0124	1.07	.0517	.0123	1.11	.0587	.0134	1.08	.0143	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10
		2.08	.0178	.0117	2.14	.0123	.0123	2.16	.0143	.0126	2.17	.0133	2.16	2.16	2.16	2.16	2.16	2.16	2.16	2.16	2.16	2.16
		3.14	.0193	.0100	3.21	.0228	.0104	3.25	.0241	.0105	3.27	.0115	3.26	3.26	3.26	3.26	3.26	3.26	3.26	3.26	3.26	3.26
		4.18	.2686	.0083	4.29	.3053	.0088	4.34	.3290	.0085	4.38	.0100	3.685	4.41	4.41	4.41	4.41	4.41	4.41	4.41	4.41	4.41
		6.28	.0412	.0061	6.44	.0474	.0061	6.50	.0529	.0059	6.56	.0086	4.93	5.51	5.51	5.51	5.51	5.51	5.51	5.51	5.51	5.51
		8.39	.5458	.0042	8.56	.5970	.0059	8.62	.6327	.0067	8.78	.0081	7.62	8.12	8.12	8.12	8.12	8.12	8.12	8.12	8.12	8.12
		10.46	.6495	.0040	10.65	.7061	.0073	10.72	.7414	.0076	10.80	.0100	8.132	8.593	8.593	8.593	8.593	8.593	8.593	8.593	8.593	8.593
		12.53	.7501	.0052	12.74	.7982	.0082	12.79	.8254	.0091	11.84	.0103	8.593	9.238	9.238	9.238	9.238	9.238	9.238	9.238	9.238	9.238
		14.58	.8393	.0080	14.79	.8784	.0100	14.84	.9041	.0115	15.81	.0137	15.81	15.81	15.81	15.81	15.81	15.81	15.81	15.81	15.81	15.81
		16.59	.8751	.0110	16.73	.8397	.0147	16.81	.8957	.0157	17.84	.0161	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84
		18.59	.8406	.0137	18.80	.8936	.0147	18.88	.9357	.0161	18.88	.0161	18.88	18.88	18.88	18.88	18.88	18.88	18.88	18.88	18.88	18.88
		20.63	.9020	.0138	20.81	.9561	.0154	20.91			20.91		20.91	20.91	20.91	20.91	20.91	20.91	20.91	20.91	20.91	20.91
		22.72	.9722	.0146	22.86			23.05			23.15		23.15	23.15	23.15	23.15	23.15	23.15	23.15	23.15	23.15	23.15
		23.75	.9986	.0152	23.86			23.96			24.06		24.06	24.06	24.06	24.06	24.06	24.06	24.06	24.06	24.06	24.06
6	-3.8	-2.07	-.2044	.0142	-2.07	-.2103	.0156	-2.07	-.2166	.0166	-2.08	.0182	-2.327	-2.08	-2.351	.0195	-2.08	-2.1420	-.99	-.1465	.0202	.0195
		-1.02	-.1260	.0153	-1.00	-.1352	.0162	-1.00	-.1376	.0171	-.99	.0188	-2.08	-2.08	-2.08	-2.08	-2.08	-2.08	-2.08	-2.08	-2.08	-2.08
		.03	-.0592	.0149	.07	-.0507	.0161	.08	-.0554	.0169	.10	.0181	-2.056	-2.056	-2.056	-2.056	-2.056	-2.056	-2.056	-2.056	-2.056	-2.056
		1.08	-.0161	.0143	1.13	.0244	.0152	1.16	.0321	.0160	1.19	.0172	.0393	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19
		2.13	.0885	.0133	2.21	.1144	.0139	2.25	.1317	.0143	2.28	.0148	1.427	1.427	1.427	1.427	1.427	1.427	1.427	1.427	1.427	1.427
		3.17	.1639	.0116	3.29	.1989	.0119	3.35	.2384	.0118	3.38	.0127	.2497	3.42	3.42	3.42	3.42	3.42	3.42	3.42	3.42	3.42
		4.23	.2505	.0099	4.37	.2873	.0104	4.42	.3120	.0097	4.49	.0108	.3518	4.51	4.51	4.51	4.51	4.51	4.51	4.51	4.51	4.51
		6.34	.3961	.0077	6.51	.4434	.0088	6.59	.4785	.0061	6.65	.0094	.5249	6.68	6.68	6.68	6.68	6.68	6.68	6.68	6.68	6.68
		8.42	.5137	.0060	8.62	.5602	.0080	8.74	.5938	.0074	8.71	.0097	.5907	7.77	7.77	6.812	6.812	6.812	6.812	6.812	6.812	6.812
		10.50	.6340	.0060	10.72	.6761	.0072	10.80	.7148	.0087	10.88	.0113	.7880	11.95	11.95	11.95	11.95	11.95	11.95	11.95	11.95	11.95
		12.58	.7459	.0067	12.80	.7761	.0094	12.89	.8162	.0103	12.90	.0105	.8162	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90
		14.62	.8151	.0093	14.86	.8508	.0111	14.92	.8802	.0121	14.98	.0121	.8802	15.89	15.89	15.89	15.89	15.89	15.89	15.89	15.89	15.89
		16.63	.8565	.0114	16.79	.8207	.0143	16.87	.8619	.0144	16.97	.0144	.8619	17.92	17.92	17.92	17.92	17.92	17.92	17.92	17.92	17.92
		18.58	.8193	.0135	18.87	.8783	.0149	18.95	.9146	.0165	19.05	.0171	.9146	20.95	20.95	20.95	20.95	20.95	20.95	20.95	20.95	20.95
		20.66	.8856	.0139	20.98	.9463	.0158	21.06	.9811	.0171	21.14	.0171	.9811	22.98	22.98	22.98	22.98	22.98	22.98	22.98	22.98	22.98
		22.76	0.9582	.0144	23.11	1.0150	.0174	23.21	1.0510		23.29		23.29	23.29	23.29	23.29	23.29	23.29	23.29	23.29	23.29	23.29
		23.78	.9820	.0160																		
6	-5.4	-3.10	-.2910	.0172	-3.12	-.2427	.0198	-3.13	-.3246	.0205	-3.15	.0228	-.3571	-3.16	-.3647	.0241	-3.16	-.2549	-.06	-.1686	.0246	.0241
		-2.04	-.1556	.0100	-2.05	-.1481	.0205	-2.07	-.1582	.0214	-2.08	.0237	-.237	-2.08	-2.08	.0257	-2.08	-.2209	-.16	-.0800	.0240	.0241
		-1.00	-.0763	.0185	-1.07	-.0728	.0200	-1.09	-.0706	.0204	-1.12	.0224	-.0772	-.0772	-.0772	.0257	-.0772	-.0772	-.0772	-.0772	-.0772	-.0772
		1.04	-.0056	.0176	1.14	.0062	.0189	1.18	-.0066	.0195	1.21	.0220	.0084	1.21	1.21	1.21	1.21	.0084	1.21	.0086	1.21	1.21
		2.14	.0687	.0161	2.25	.0887	.0169	2.27	.0956	.0172	2.30	.0185	.1037	2.32	2.32	2.32	2.32	.1037	2.32	.1226	2.32	2.32
		3.20	.1466	.0137	3.32	.1732	.0146	3.36	.1989	.0146	3.41	.0160	.2222	3.43	3.43	3.43	3.43	.2222	3.43	.2269	3.43	3.43
		4.25	.4218	.0120	4.40	.2633	.0127	4.45	.2812	.0121	4.51	.0140	.3225	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.55	.3225	4.55	.3536	4.55	4.55
		6.34	.3643	.0095	6.54	.4196	.0102	6.61	.4476	.0096	6.66	.0117	.4771	6.73	6.73	6.73	6.73	.4771	6.73	.5621	6.73	6.73
		8.44	.4874	.0079	8.67	.5549	.0096	8.71	.5768	.0101	8.79	.0123	.6246	8.86	8.86	8.86	8.86	.6246	8.86	.6986	8.86	8.86
		10.52	.6018	.0074	10.76	.6639	.0107	10.83	.6977	.0110	10.87	.0127	.7069	11.93	11.93	11.93	11.93	.7069	11.93	.7891	11.93	11.93
		12.59	.7135	.0086	12.83	.7465	.0114	12.88	.7691	.0125	13.02	.0136	.8713	14.03	14.03	14.03	14.03	.8713	14.03	.8797	14.03	14.03
		14.62	.7884	.0113	14.88	.8251	.0136	15.91	.8380	.0146	16.91	.0165	.8463	17.93	17.93	17.93	17.93	.8463	17.93	.8608	17.93	17.93
		16.65	.8295	.0132	16.81	.7950	.0165	17.93	.8589	.0179	18.98	.0185	.8857	19.03	19.03	19.03	19.03	.8857	19.03	.9015	19.03	19.03
		18.62	.8062	.0154	18.88	.8447	.0171	18.98			19.03											
		20.68	.8667	.0155	21.00	.9295																

TABLE VIII.—NORMAL-AND AXIAL-FORCE COEFFICIENTS (FIG 9)

Tail Configuration	I_t deg	M = .60			M = .80			M = .85			M = .90			M = .92		
		α°	C_N	C_A	α°	C_N	C_A	α°	C_N	C_A	α°	C_N	C_A	α°	C_N	C_A
7	-0.7	.00	-.0133	.0133	.00	-.0179	.0136	.02	-.0111	.0147	-3.24	.0120	-.3028	-2.64	.1448	.0171
		2.10	.1347	.0119	2.16	.1572	.0118	2.18	.1678	.0126	-2.16	.0139	-.1994	-2.15	.2075	.0152
		4.21	.2940	.0089	4.32	.3324	.0088	4.37	.3556	.0089	.03	.0156	-.0118	.03	-.0177	.0170
		6.31	.4286	.0069	6.47	.4815	.0076	6.52	.5102	.0080	2.20	.0136	.1744	2.23	.2026	.0155
		8.40	.5462	.0051	8.56	.5982	.0072	8.63	.6296	.0089	6.58	.0087	.5456	6.61	.4279	.0117
		10.46	.6592	.0047	10.67	.7262	.0077	10.73	.7466	.0095	8.71	.0105	.6983	8.73	.7260	.0119
		12.53	.7586	.0065	12.75	.8108	.0090	12.82	.8517	.0108	10.82	.0118	.8171	11.01	.9691	.0119
		14.58	.8533	.0089	14.80	.8911	.0107	14.88	.9266	.0128	12.88	.0125	.8995			
		16.59	.8748	.0116	16.74	.8524	.0149	16.83	.9116	.0169	14.97	.0146	.9899			
		18.56	.8519	.0146	18.81	.9137	.0152	18.91	.9826	.0179						
		20.63	.9070	.0134	20.91	0.9813	.0153	21.02	1.0421	.0186						
		22.72	0.9908	.0130	23.04	1.0406	.0161									
7	-4.8	-3.10	-.2734	.0162	-3.14	-.3008	.0175	-3.15	-.3127	.0187	-3.19	.0214	-.3463	-3.19	-.3700	.0239
		-2.05	-.2010	.0176	-2.06	-.2197	.0191	-2.08	-.2301	.0204	-2.07	.0234	-.2402	-2.07	-.2502	.0253
		.04	-.0594	.0184	.08	-.0595	.0191	.10	-.0615	.0205	.12	.0229	-.0618	.13	-.0553	.0249
		2.15	.0922	.0157	2.23	.1117	.0161	2.27	.1261	.0169	2.32	.0190	.1476	2.35	.1687	.0212
		4.26	.2543	.0125	4.40	.2890	.0130	4.45	.3175	.0128	4.53	.0149	.3700	4.58	.4098	.0169
		6.35	.3971	.0109	6.54	.4359	.0114	6.60	.4719	.0113	6.70	.0133	.5348	6.74	.5787	.0156
		8.43	.5092	.0087	8.64	.5621	.0110	8.69	.5770	.0122	8.77	.0137	.6300	8.87	.7233	.0154
		10.50	.6267	.0083	10.74	.6807	.0112	10.81	.7083	.0131	10.95	.0154	.8141	11.07	.9478	.0158
		12.58	.7412	.0102	12.81	.7743	.0123	12.90	.8098	.0138	13.04	.0159	.9132			
		14.63	.8161	.0113	14.87	.8530	.0132	14.95	.8916	.0150						
		16.63	.8513	.0131	16.79	.8135	.0160	16.90	.8726	.0180						
		18.59	.8197	.0145	18.88	.8803	.0157	18.99	.9397	.0189						
		20.65	.8772	.0143	20.99	.9627	.0168									
		22.76	.9747	.0150	23.06	.9970	.0173									

TABLE IX.— NORMAL- AND AXIAL-FORCE COEFFICIENTS (FIG 10)






Figure 1.- System of axes. Positive values of forces, moments, and angles are indicated by arrows.




Geometric Characteristics Of Model			
	Wing	Horiz. tail	Vert. tail
Area, ft ²	1.773	.337	.603
Aspect ratio	4.00	4.00	1.16
Taper ratio	0	0	4.11
$A_{1/4}$, deg	28.82	36.85	28.00
NACA airfoil section parallel to airstream	65A004	65A006	65A006



(a) Three-view drawing of basic model. Wing aspect ratio 4.00. All dimensions are in inches.


Figure 2.- Geometric characteristics of model.



A	λ	$A_{.8c}$	c_r	c_f	\bar{c}	S	Δx
4.00	0	0°	15.98	0	10.65	1.77	0
3.50	.067			1.07	10.70	1.77	-.017
3.25	.104			1.66	10.76	1.76	-.062
3.00	.143	↓	↓	2.28	10.83	1.74	-.095

(b) Wing-tip modifications of basic aspect-ratio-4.00 wing. All dimensions are in inches.


Figure 2.- Continued.




<i>Tail Configuration</i>	<i>Horizontal tail</i>	<i>Vertical tail (Unswept trailing edge)</i>
	1	Off
	2	On
	3	On
	4	On
	5	On

(c) Model tail configurations with unswept trailing-edge vertical tail.


Figure 2.- Continued.



Tail configuration 4




Tail configuration 6



Tail configuration 7

(d) Horizontal-tail overhang and tail length.

Figure 2.- Continued.



L-89250

(e) Photograph of model mounted in tunnel.

Figure 2.- Concluded.




Figure 3.- Effect of aspect ratio on the longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics of the model. Tail off.


(b) C_m against α .

Figure 3.- Continued.


(c) α against C_L .

Figure 3.- Continued.


(d) C_D against C_L .

Figure 3.- Concluded.


(a) C_m against C_L .

Figure 4.- Longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics of the model for several tail configurations. Wing aspect ratio, 3.50.


(b) C_m against α .

Figure 4.- Continued.


(c) α against C_L .

Figure 4.- Continued.


(d) C_D against C_L .

Figure 4.- Concluded.




Figure 5.- Effect on the longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics of several variations of the T-tail arrangement. Wing aspect ratio, 3.50.


(b) C_m against α .

Figure 5.- Continued.


(c) α against C_L .

Figure 5.- Continued.


(d) C_D against C_L .

Figure 5.- Concluded.




Figure 6.- Effect of wing aspect ratio on the longitudinal characteristics of the T-tail model. Tail configuration 6.


(b) α against C_L .

Figure 6.- Continued.


(c) C_D against C_L .

Figure 6.- Concluded.


(a) C_m against C_L .

Figure 7.- Effect of stabilizer deflection on the aerodynamic characteristics of the T-tail with leading-edge overhang. Tail configuration 4; wing aspect ratio, 3.50.


(b) α against C_L .

Figure 7.- Continued.


(c) C_D against C_L .

Figure 7.- Concluded.




Figure 8.- Effect of stabilizer deflection on the aerodynamic characteristics of the T-tail configuration without leading-edge overhang of the horizontal tail. Tail configuration 6; wing aspect ratio, 3.50.


(b) α against C_L .

Figure 8.- Continued.


(c) C_D against C_L .

Figure 8.- Concluded.


(a) C_m against C_L .

Figure 9.- Effect of stabilizer deflection on the aerodynamic characteristics of the T-tail without leading-edge overhang and mounted on a reduced sweep vertical tail. Tail configuration 7; wing aspect ratio, 3.50.


(b) α against C_L .

Figure 9.- Continued.


(c) C_D against C_L .

Figure 9.- Concluded.


(a) C_m against C_L .

Figure 10.- Effect of stabilizer deflection on the aerodynamic characteristics of the biplane-tail configuration. Tail configuration 5; wing aspect ratio, 3.50.


(b) α against C_L .

Figure 10.- Continued.


(c) C_D against C_L .

Figure 10.- Concluded.




Figure 11.- Variation of $\left(\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial C_L}\right)_{C_L=0}$ with Mach number for the wing-fuselage model for various wing aspect ratios.




Figure 12.- Variation of $\left(\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial C_L}\right)_{C_L=0}$ and lift-curve slope with Mach number for the model with the aspect-ratio-3.50 wing and various tail configurations.




Figure 13.- Longitudinal stability characteristics of the aspect-ratio-3.50 model with several tail configurations adjusted to give a $-0.10\bar{c}$ static margin at $M = 0.60$.




Figure 14.- Effect of aspect ratio on drag due to lift. Tail off.




Figure 15.- Variation of stabilizer effectiveness with Mach number for the model with the aspect-ratio-3.50 wing and various tail configurations.

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER



3 1176 00513 8475

CONFIDENTIAL