

NACA RM No. E8C17



RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

ALTITUDE-WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF A 3000-POUND-THRUST
AXIAL-FLOW TURBOJET ENGINE

VII - PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTIONS

By Martin J. Saari and William R. Prince

Flight Propulsion Research Laboratory
Cleveland, Ohio

SPECIAL RELEASE

TRANSMITTED ON

NOT TO BE INDEXED, REPRODUCED, OR GIVEN FURTHER
DISTRIBUTION WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF NACA

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED

and Unavailable
Authenticity NACA Res. Lab. Date 3/27/56
RN 98
3/27/56 See

This document contains classified information
concerning the National Defense of the United
States within the meaning of the Espionage Act,
Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 793 and 794. Its transmission or the
disclosure of its contents in any manner to an
unauthorized person is prohibited by law.
Information so classified may be imparted
only to persons in the military and naval
services of the United States, appropriate
civilian officers and employees of the Federal
Government who have a legitimate interest
therein, and to United States citizens of known
loyalty and discretion who of necessity must be
informed thereof.



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

WASHINGTON
December 10, 1948

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUMALTITUDE-WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF A 3000-POUND-THRUST
AXIAL-FLOW TURBOJET ENGINE

VII - PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTIONS

By Martin J. Saari and William R. Prince

SUMMARY

An investigation has been conducted in the NACA Cleveland altitude wind tunnel during which temperature and pressure distributions throughout an original and a modified 3000-pound-thrust turbojet engine were obtained. Data are presented for both engines for a range of simulated altitudes from 5000 to 45,000 feet, simulated flight Mach numbers from 0.24 to 1.08, and corrected engine speeds from 10,550 to 13,359 rpm. The effects of altitude, flight Mach number, and corrected engine speed on the pressure and temperature distributions at each measuring station of both engines are discussed.

Modification of the compressor, the combustion chamber, and the fuel-spray nozzles of the original engine improved the radial total-pressure distribution at the compressor outlet and the radial end circumferential temperature distribution at the turbine outlet. Pressure and temperature distributions at all measuring stations through the engines, except the compressor outlet and the turbine outlet, were not appreciably affected by changes in altitude, flight Mach number, or engine speed. An increase in altitude from 25,000 to 45,000 feet resulted in high total-pressure peaks near the outer wall of the compressor outlet. For the original engine, this increase in altitude resulted in an increase of temperature near the inner wall of the turbine outlet. For both engines, an increase in engine speed moved the temperature peaks at the turbine outlet toward the root sections of the turbine blades. Except for slight differences in pressure levels, variations of average total and static pressures throughout the original and modified engines were similar for comparable operating conditions.

INTRODUCTION

An investigation has been conducted in the NACA Cleveland altitude wind tunnel to determine the performance and operational characteristics of original and modified configurations of 8 3000-pound-thrust axial-flow turbojet engine. In the initial phase of the investigation of the original engine, the engine was operated with an exhaust-nozzle-outlet average temperature limit of 1210° F. Operation of the engine at this temperature limit resulted in a failure of the turbine assembly. It was found that the tail-rake temperature measurements were not representative of the temperature to which the turbine was subjected, owing to undesirable circumferential and radial temperature variations at the turbine inlet. The temperature limit was then changed to 1375° F, as read on the hottest thermocouple at the turbine outlet. Operation at the revised temperature limit resulted in a second failure of the turbine rotor blades. It was found that the existing thermocouples around the circumference of the turbine outlet were not located in regions of maximum temperature. Additional instrumentation was installed at the turbine outlet and the temperature limit was lowered to 1250° F, as read on the hottest thermocouple. The investigation of the original engine was completed with the revised temperature limit without further turbine failure.

In order to improve the turbine-inlet temperature distribution and thereby increase the allowable turbine-outlet temperature limit, the compressor, the combustion chamber, and the fuel-spray nozzles were modified for the second part of the investigation. The operating temperature of the modified engine was increased to 1400° F, as indicated by the hottest thermocouple at the turbine outlet.

The investigation was conducted over a wide range of simulated altitudes, simulated flight Mach numbers, and engine speeds. Analyses of turbine performance, compressor performance, combustion-chamber performance, operational characteristics, over-811 engine performance, and inlet pressure losses are presented in references 1 to 6, respectively.

Data are presented herein to show the effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on pressure and temperature distributions at each measuring station within the original and modified configurations. The data presented for both engines have been generalized to NACA standard sea-level conditions.

DESCRIPTION OF ENGINES

The X24C-4B turbojet engine used in this investigation has a static sea-level thrust rating of 3000 pounds at an engine speed of 12,500 rpm. At this rating the air flow is approximately 58.5 pounds per second and the fuel consumption is 3200 pounds per hour. The over-all length of the engine is $119\frac{1}{2}$ inches, the maximum diameter is $28\frac{1}{4}$ inches, and the total weight is 1150 pounds. The main components of the engine include an 11-stage axial-flow compressor, a double-annulus combustion chamber, a two-stage turbine, and a fixed-area exhaust nozzle.

The main components of the two engines used in this investigation were similar except for modifications made to the compressor and the combustion chamber by the manufacturer. The compressor was modified to improve the radial velocity distribution at the compressor outlet by twisting the eleventh-stage rotor blades, in the direction of reduced angle of attack, 3° at the midspan and 6° at the blade tip.

The combustion chamber was modified to improve the temperature distribution at the turbine inlet by omitting the wall perforations of the fourth step of the combustion-chamber liner and replacing the holes in the third step with a single row of large rectangular holes, the area of which equalled the total area of the third- and fourth-step holes in the original liner. The blocking area of the screens at the combustion-chamber inlet was reduced. For the original configuration, a screen having 60-percent blocking area was installed in the outer annulus and one having 40-percent blocking area was installed in the intermediate annulus. For the modified engine, these screens were replaced by screens having 30-percent blocking area. In order to obtain a more uniform circumferential temperature distribution at the turbine inlet, fuel nozzles of a different type were installed in the modified engine. The fuel nozzles for the original engine had a rated capacity of $7\frac{1}{2}$ gallons per hour at a differential pressure of 100 pounds per square inch, as compared to 7 gallons per hour for the nozzles in the modified engine.

As a result of these modifications, the operating temperature limit, as indicated by the hottest thermocouple at the turbine outlet, was raised from 1250° F for the original engine to 1400° F for the modified engine. A reduction in exhaust-nozzle-outlet area from 183 square inches for the original engine to 171 square inches

for **the** modified engine was consequently required to obtain the higher temperature limit. More detailed **descriptions** of the engines and their **components** are presented in **references** 1 to 5.

IN - ON AND IN - I C N

The engine was installed in a **wing nacelle** in the test **section** of the altitude wind tunnel (fig. 1). **Compressor-inlet** pressures **corresponding** to high flight **Mach** numbers were simulated by **introducing** dry refrigerated air **from** the **tunnel make-up** air system through a duct to the **engine** inlet. This air was throttled **from** approximately sea-level pressure to the desired pressure at the **compressor inlet** while the **static** pressure in the tunnel was maintained to **correspond** to the desired altitude. The duct was **connected** to the **engine** inlet by means of a slip joint with a labyrinth seal, **which** permitted engine-thrust and **installation-drag** measurements to be made **with** the **tunnel balance scales**.

Temperature **and** pressure **measurements** were obtained at **several** stations through the **engine** (fig. 2). **Drawings** of the instrumentation at the compressor inlet, the **compressor** outlet, the **turbine** inlet, the turbine **stator** stages, the turbine outlet, and the exhaust-nozzle outlet are presented in figures 3 to 8, **respectively**.

PROCEDURE

Pressures **and** temperatures throughout the engine are presented for **simulated** altitudes from 5000 to 45,000 feet, simulated flight **Mach** numbers **from** 0.24 to 1.08, and **corrected engine speeds** from 10,550 to 13,359 rpm. For most operating **conditions**, the inlet-air temperature was held at approximately **NACA** standard values **corresponding** to the simulated flight **conditions**. No inlet-air temperatures below approximately **-20° F**, **corresponding** to high altitude and low flight **Mach** number, were obtained.

Temperatures were measured and recorded by two self-balancing potentiometers. Pressures were measured by water, **alkazene**, and mercury manometers and were **photographically** recorded. The engine speed was set by means of a **stroboscopic** tachometer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data are **presented** to **show** the **effect** of altitude, flight **Mach** number, and **corrected engine** speed on the pressure and temperature

distribution at **each** measuring station and on the variation of average **pressures** throughout the original and modified **engines**. In order to provide a **basis** for comparing the **engines**, the data for both engines have been generalized to standard sea-level **conditions** by the use of the **factors δ** (ratio of absolute total **pressure** at **compressor** inlet to absolute **static** pressure **corresponding** to **NACA** standard atmosphere at sea level) and **θ** (ratio of absolute indicated total temperature at **compressor** inlet to **absolute static** temperature of **NACA** standard atmosphere at **sea** level). **Pressure** and temperature **distributions** are **presented** for both engines at **comparable simulated** flight **conditions**. The effect of altitude at a flight Mach number of 0.24 and a corrected engine speed $N/\sqrt{\theta}$ of approximately 12,000 rpm is presented for simulated altitudes from 5,000 to 45,000 feet. The effect of flight Mach number at an altitude of 25,000 feet and a corrected engine speed of approximately 12,700 rpm is presented for flight Mach numbers of 0.53 to 1.08. The **corrected** engine **speeds** at which the effects of altitude and flight Mach number are **shown** were the **maximum speeds** at which **comparable** data were obtained over the **complete** range of conditions for both **engines**. The effect of engine speed at a flight Mach number of 0.53 and an altitude of 25,000 feet is presented for **corrected** engine **speeds** from 10,550 to 13,359 rpm.

Compressor Met

The radial **distribution** of total pressure, static **pressure**, and Indicated **temperature** at the **compressor** inlet is shown in figure 9 for the original and modified **engines**. Total- and static-pressure levels for both engines were nearly the same at **each** operating **condition**. The **maximum variation** of temperature levels between the two engines at any operating **conditions** was about 15° F.

Total-pressure, **static-pressure**, and indicated-temperature distributions were uniform **across** the passage for both engines at all operating **conditions investigated**, with the **exception** of slightly lower total **pressures** near the wall due to the **boundary** layer.

Compressor Stator Stages

The variation of corrected static pressure P_3/δ at the **compressor stator** stages is **shown** in figure 10 for the original and modified **engines**. **Continuous** pressure **rise** was **obtained** throughout the stages of the **compressor** of both engines for the operating

conditiona shown. An **increase** in altitude beyond 25,000 feet increased the over-all corrected pressure through the **compressor**. **Increasing** the flight Mach number **from** 0.53 to 1.08 did not **appreciably affect** the general pressure **distribution** through the first eight **compressor** stages; for the remaining stages, an increase in flight Mach number reduced the pressure level. For the modified engine (fig. 10(b)), the **corrected** engine speeds at flight Mach numbers of 0.53 and 1.08 were **scmewhat lower than** at the **comparable** conditions for the **original** engine, and consequently, the pressure levels are not in the same sequence with **increasing** flight Mach **number as shown for the** original engine. **Increasing** the corrected engine speed lowered the static pressure at the **first-stator** stage **as** a result of the increased velocities through the inlet guide **vanes**. Twisting the eleventh-stage rotor blades had no **appreciable** effect on the static-pressure rise across that stage. The **effects** of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed **on** the corrected atatio-pressure distributions through the **compressors** were similar for both engines.

Compressor Outlet

Average radial distributicna of total pressure $P_4/8$ at the **compressor** outlet are shown in figure 11 for both engines. **Inas-much** as no **appreciable** radial or **circumferential variation in** static pressure **occurred** at **any operating condition**, only the average **corrected static** pressure $p_4/8$ is shown.

For the original engine (fig. 11(a)), the **corrected** total pressure and the average static pressure were **approximately** equal for a distance of **3/4 inch from** the inner wall of the passage at **each** altitude, which indicated low **velocity** and air flow. Fran this point, the **corrected** total pressure **increased** rapidly **across** the passage and, for altitudes up to 25,000 feet, peak total pressures were obtained at approximately **3/4 inch from** the outer wall. At an altitude of 45,000 feet, the total-pressure peak **increased in** magnitude and moved nearer the outer wall. For the modified engine (fig. 11(b)), the tot&-pressure peak at an altitude of 5000 feet **occurred** in the **central** part of the **compressor-outlet** passage and at an altitude of 45,000 feet the total-pressure peak moved outward to approximately **3/4 inch from** the outer wall. The total and static pressures near the inner wall, however, were **approximately** equal, as in the original **engine**.

The total-pressure **distribution** for the original engine was not appreciably affected by changes in flight **Mach** number except

near the outer wall, where, at the high flight Mach number, the corrected total pressure was less than the peak corrected total pressure. For the modified engine, the total-pressure distributions for flight Mach numbers of 0.53 and 0.87 were similar, with the peak occurring $3/4$ inch from the outer wall; however, at a flight Mach number of 1.08 the total-pressure peak occurred in the central part of the passage.

For both engines, the total-pressure peak moved from the center of the passage at the lowest corrected engine speed to 8 point near the outer wall at the highest corrected engine speed. For the Original engine, the peak total pressure at maximum engine speed occurred about $1/4$ inch from the outer wall; whereas for the modified engine the total-pressure peak occurred about $3/4$ inch from the outer wall.

In general, modifying the compressor shifted the total-pressure peak toward the center of the compressor-outlet passage but did not appreciably affect the pressure distribution near the inner wall.

Turbine Inlet

Circumferential distribution of corrected total pressure- $P_{5/8}$ at the turbine inlet is shown in figure 12 for the original and modified engines. The total-pressure distribution was uniform for both engines at all operating conditions. Changes in altitude, flight Mach number, or engine speed affected only the pressure level.

Turbine Outlet

Total-pressure distributions at the turbine outlet are presented in figure 13 for both engines. The static pressure shown for each operating condition is an average of three static-wall-orificemeasurements. In general, the corrected total pressure $P_{7/8}$ increased across the passage from the inner wall to the outer wall. For the modified engine (fig. 13(b)), the rapid increase in total pressure near the outer wall is attributed to the reduced blocking area of the screen at the inlet of the Outer annulus of the combustion chamber. The distribution for both engines was not greatly affected by changes in operating conditions.

The radial distribution of corrected turbine-outlet temperature $T_{1,7/8}$ at three circumferential positions for altitudes

from 5000 to 45,000 feet is presented in figure 14 for the original and modified engines at a flight Mach number of 0.24 and a corrected engine speed of about 12,000 rpm. The turbine-outlet temperature distribution for the original engine (fig. 14(a)) was not affected, except near the outer wall, when the altitude was increased from 5000 to 25,000 feet. At an altitude of 45,000 feet, the temperature at the inner wall increased with respect to the temperature at the outer wall to such an extent that the highest temperatures occurred in the vicinity of the turbine-blade roots. For the modified engine (fig. 14(b)), the temperature distributions measured by each rake were similar for the range of altitudes investigated. For both engines, an increase in altitude from 5000 to 25,000 feet generally resulted in a small increase in the corrected temperature level. Increasing the altitude beyond 25,000 feet, however, considerably increased the temperature level.

The radial distribution of corrected temperature at the turbine outlet for flight Mach numbers from 0.53 to 1.06 is presented in figure 15 for both engines at an altitude of 25,000 feet and a corrected engine speed of about 12,700 rpm. For both engines, changes in flight Mach number did not affect the temperature distribution. An increase in flight Mach number decreased the corrected temperature level except at a flight Mach number of 0.87 for the modified engine. The corrected engine speeds at flight Mach numbers of 0.53 and 1.06 for the modified engine were somewhat lower than at the comparable conditions for the original engine and, consequently, the temperature levels are not in the same sequence with increasing flight Mach number as shown for the original engine.

The effect of corrected engine speed on the radial distribution of corrected indicated temperature at the turbine outlet is shown in figure 16 for both engines at an altitude of 25,000 feet and a flight Mach number of 0.53. Increasing the corrected engine speed moved the temperature peak toward the root sections of the turbine blades. However, this effect was more pronounced for the original engine than for the modified engine. At the highest engine speed for the modified engine (fig. 16(b)), the maximum temperature at each rake position occurred in the central part of the passage.

The effects of altitude, flight Mach number, and corrected engine speed on the average radial turbine-outlet temperature distribution are shown in figure 17 for both engines. The maximum variation of average temperature across the turbine-outlet passage at any flight condition for the original engine was about 160° F. The high temperatures that occurred near the inner wall at high

altitude and high engine speed for the original engine were undesirable from turbine-blade stress considerations. With regard to blade stress, it is desirable to have the lowest temperatures near the blade roots and the peak temperature near the blade tips. For the modified engine (fig. 17(b)), a temperature peak existed in the central part of the passage for all operating conditions. The temperature distribution for the modified engine corresponded more closely to the aforementioned design temperature distribution.

The circumferential distribution of corrected indicated temperature at a distance of $1\frac{3}{4}$ inches from the tail-pipe outer wall is shown in figure 18 at each operating condition for the original and modified engines. Values for the circumferential distribution were obtained from the three rakes and the individual thermocouples shown in figure 7. Large circumferential temperature variations occurred at all operating conditions for both engines. An inspection of the turbine-stator-blade assemblies showed discoloration around the circumference, which indicates the presence of the temperature irregularities shown at the turbine outlet. Furthermore, it is believed that the distribution at the turbine inlet was even more irregular than indicated by turbine-outlet measurements, inasmuch as the magnitude of the temperature variations was reduced by mixing in passing through the turbine rotors. The circumferential temperature distributions of both engines were not greatly affected by a change in altitude from 5000 to 25,000 feet. An increase in altitude to 45,000 feet raised the temperature level and resulted in somewhat higher temperatures in the lower part of the turbine outlet than in the upper part. This temperature difference was probably caused by unequal flow from the upper and lower fuel nozzles. The difference in head of fuel between the top and the bottom of the fuel manifold, together with the low fuel-manifold pressure accompanying high-altitude operation, resulted in decreased fuel flow and poor atomization from the top nozzles.

Changes in flight Mach number had no effect on the circumferential distribution of corrected indicated temperature at the turbine outlet of either engine. Except for the change in temperature level, variation of corrected engine speed had no appreciable effect on the circumferential temperature distribution of either engine.

Modification of the original engine resulted in a more uniform temperature distribution over a greater part of the turbine-outlet circumference, but did not reduce the peak temperatures.

Exhaust-Nozzle Outlet

The effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and corrected engine speed on the distribution of corrected total pressure $P_{g/\theta}$, static pressure $p_{g/\theta}$, and indicated temperature $T_{1, g/\theta}$, at the exhaust-nozzle outlet is shown in figure 19 for the original and modified engines. The corrected total-pressure distribution was reasonably symmetrical about the center line of the jet at all operating conditions for both engines. In all cases, the total pressures at the center of the jet were lower than at the wall of the exhaust nozzle. The corrected temperature was reasonably uniform across the upper half of the exhaust nozzle at 811 flight conditions, except at an altitude of 45,000 feet. At 45,000 feet, the decreased temperature in the upper part of the exhaust nozzle relative to the center of the jet can be attributed to poor fuel distribution at high altitudes.

Engine Profile

The variations of average total and static pressures through the original and modified engines for 811 operating conditions previously discussed are shown in figures 20 to 22. Except for slight differences in pressure levels, the over-all pressure distributions for the original and modified engines were similar at comparable operating conditions.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The following results were obtained from an investigation of original and modified configurations of 8 3000-pound-thrust axial-flow turbojet engine in the Cleveland altitude wind tunnel at simulated altitudes from 5000 to 45,000 feet, simulated flight Mach numbers from 0.24 to 1.08, and corrected engine speeds from 10,550 to 13,359 rpm:

1. Modification of the compressor, the combustion chamber, and the fuel nozzles of the original engine improved the radial total-pressure distribution at the compressor outlet and the radial and circumferential temperature distributions at the turbine outlet.
2. Pressure and temperature distributions at all measuring stations, except the compressor outlet and the turbine outlet, were not greatly affected by changes in altitude, flight Mach number, or engine speed. An increase in altitude from 25,000 to 45,000 feet

944

resulted in high total-pressure peaks near the outer wall of the compressor-outlet passage of both engines. For the original engine, this increase in altitude resulted in an increase of temperatures near the inner wall of the turbine outlet. Increasing the corrected engine speed moved the temperature peaks at the turbine outlet toward the root sections of the turbine blades. This effect was more pronounced for the original engine than for the modified engine.

3. With the exception of slight differences in pressure levels, the variations of average total and static pressures throughout the original and modified engines were similar for comparable operating conditions.

Flight Propulsion Research Laboratory,
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,
Cleveland, Ohio.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

REFERENCES

1. Conrad, Earl W., Mete, Robert O., Jr., and Golladay, Richard L.: Altitude-Wind-Tunnel Investigation of a 3000-Pound-Thrust Axial-Flow Turbojet Engine. I - Analysis of Turbine Performance. NACA RM No. E8A23, 1948.
2. Mete, Robert O., Jr., Berdysz, Joseph J., and Howard, Ephraim M.: Altitude-Wind-Tunnel Investigation of a 3000-Pound-Thrust Axial-Flow Turbojet Engine. II - Analysis of Compressor Performance. NACA RM No. E8A26a, 1948.
3. Campbell, Carl E.: Altitude-Wind-Tunnel Investigation of a 3000-Pound-Thrust Axial-Flow Turbojet Engine. III - Analysis of Combustion-Chamber Performance. NACA RM No. E8B19, 1948.
4. Hawkins, W. Kent, and Meyer, Carl L.: Altitude-Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Operational Characteristics of Westinghouse X24C-4B Axial-Flow Turbojet Engine. NACA RM No. E8J25, 1948.
5. Meyer, Carl L., and Bloomer, Harry E.: Altitude-Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Performance and Windmilling Drag Characteristics of Westinghouse X24C-4B Axial-Flow Turbojet Engine. NACA RM No. E8J25a, 1948.
6. Sanders, Newell D., and Palacios, John: Analysis of Effects of Inlet Pressure Losses on Performance of Axial-Flow Type Turbojet Engine. NACA RM No. E8J25b, 1948.




Figure 1. - Installation of turbojet engine in altitude wind tunnel

C-16126
2-15-47






Figure 2. - Location of instrumentation installed in turbojet engine.






Figure 3. - Location of instrumentation at compressor inlet,




Figure 4, - Location of instrumentation at compressor outlet, station 4, $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches behind trailing edge of compressor-outlet straightening vanes.






Figure 5. - Location of instrumentation at turbine inlet, station 5, $2\frac{3}{4}$ inches in front of center line of first-stage turbine stator blade,



First stage



Second stage



Figure 6. - Location of static-pressure tubes at turbine stator stages, station 6, center line of each stator blade.




Figure 7. - Location of instrumentation at turbine outlet, $3\frac{3}{4}$ inches behind rear flange of turbine casing.

944




Figure 8. - Location of instrumentation in exhaust-nozzle outlet, station 8, 1 inch in front of rear edge of exhaust-nozzle outlet.




Figure 9. - Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on radial distribution of total pressure, static pressure, and indicated temperature at compressor inlet, station 2.




Figure 9. - Concluded. Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on radial distribution of total pressure, static pressure, and indicated temperature at compressor inlet, station 2.




Figure 10. - Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on corrected static pressure at compressor stator stages, station 3.





Figure 10. - Concluded. Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on corrected static pressure at compressor stator stages, station 5.



Distance from inner wall, in.

(a) Original engine.

Figure 11. - Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on radial distribution of average corrected total pressure at compressor outlet, station 4.




Figure 11. - Concluded. Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on radial distribution of average corrected total pressure at compressor outlet, station 4.

CONFIDENTIAL




Figure 12. - Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on circumferential distribution of corrected total pressure at turbine inlet, \bullet tations.

RM




Figure 1a. - Concluded. Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on circumferential distribution of corrected total pressure at turbine inlet, station 5.




Figure 15. - Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on radial distribution of corrected total pressure at turbine outlet, station 7.




Figure 15. - Concluded. Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on radial distribution of corrected total pressure at turbine outlet, station 7.




Figure 14. - Effect of altitude on radial distribution of corrected indicated temperature at turbine outlet, station 7. Flight Mach number, 0.84; corrected engine speed, approximately 12,000 rpm.




Figure 14. - Concluded. Effect of altitude on radial distribution of corrected indicated temperature at turbine outlet, station 7. Flight Mach number, 0.84; corrected engine speed, approximately 12,000 rpm.




Figure 15. - Effect of flight Mach number on radial distribution of corrected indicated temperature at turbine outlet, station 7. Altitude, 25,000 feet; corrected engine speed, approximately 12,700 rpm.




Figure 15. - Concluded. Effect of flight Mach number on radial distribution of corrected indicated temperature at turbine outlet, station 7. Altitude, 20,000 feet; corrected engine speed, approximately 12,700 rpm.




Figure 16. - Effect of engine speed on radial distribution of corrected indicated temperature at turbine outlet, station 7. Altitude, 25,000 feet; flight Mach number, 0.55.


Average corrected turbine-outlet temperature, $T_{1,7}/\theta$, °R

Figure 17. - Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on radial distribution of average corrected indicated temperature at turbine outlet, station 7.

(a) Original engine.

Distance from inner wall, in.




Figure 17. - Concluded. Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on radial distribution of average corrected indicated temperature at turbine outlet, station 7.




Figure 18. - Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on circumferential distribution of corrected indicated temperature at turbine outlet, station 7, 4 inches from outer wall.




Figure 1a. - Concluded. Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on circumferential distribution of corrected indicated temperature at turbine outlet, station 7, $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches from outer wall.




Figure 19. - Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and speed on distribution of corrected total pressure, static pressure, and indicated temperature at exhaust-nozzle-outlet, station 8.






Figure 19. - Concluded. Effect of altitude, flight Mach number, and engine speed on distribution of corrected total pressure, static pressure, and indicated temperature at exhaust-nozzle-outlet. ●◆◇□○ a.



(a) Original engine.

Figure 80. - Variation of average total and static pressures through engine for several altitudes. Flight Mach number, 0.26; corrected engine speed, approximately 15,000 rpm.






(b) Modified engine.


Figure P0. - Concluded. Variation of average total and static pressure through engine for several altitudes. Flight Mach number, 0.84; corrected engine speed, approximately 18,000 rpm.





(a) Original engine.

Figure 21. - Variation of average total and static pressures through engine for several flight Mach numbers. Altitude, 85,000 feet; corrected engine speed, approximately 12,700 rpm.



(b) Modified engine.

Figure 21. - Concluded. Variation of average total and static pressures through engine for several flight Mach numbers. Altitude, 25,000 feet; corrected engine speed, approximately 18,700 rpm.




Figure 22. - Variation of average total and static pressures through engine for several engine speeds. Altitude, 25,000 feet; flight Mach number, 0.82.




Figure 28. - Concluded. Variation of average total and static pressures through engine for several $\circ \square \diamond$ speeds. Altitude, 85,000 feet; flight Mach number, 0.55.

NASA Technical Library



3 1176 01435 5169